WASHINGTON METROPOLITAN AREA TRANSIT COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, DC

ORDER NO. 10,262

IN THE MATTER OF:		Served February 1, 2007
Application of UNITED	}	Case No. AP-2006-217
TRANSPORTATION, INC., Trading as)	
U.T.I. MOTOR COACH, for a)	$a_{ij} \in \mathcal{V}$
Certificate of Authority)	
Irregular Route Operations	j	

Applicant seeks a certificate of authority to transport passengers in irregular route operations between points in the Metropolitan District. The application is unopposed.

This is applicant's fourth application. Applicant first applied in October, 2005, but the application was rejected because it was incomplete. Applicant reapplied for operating authority in December, 2005, but the application was dismissed without prejudice for want of prosecution. Applicant's third application, filed June, 2006, was also dismissed without prejudice for want of prosecution.

The Compact, Title II, Article XI, Section 7(a), authorizes the Commission to issue a certificate of authority if it finds that the proposed transportation is consistent with the public interest and that the applicant is fit, willing, and able to perform the proposed transportation properly, conform to the provisions of the Compact, and conform to the rules, regulations, and requirements of the Commission.

Applicant proposes commencing operations with seven sedans, one limousine, one SUV, one van, and one minibus. Applicant proposes operating under a tariff containing mileage and/or hourly rates and airport shuttle rates.

Applicant verifies that: (1) applicant owns or leases, or has the means to acquire through ownership or lease, one or more motor vehicles meeting the Commission's safety requirements and suitable for the transportation proposed in this application; (2) applicant owns, or has the means to acquire, a motor vehicle liability insurance policy that provides the minimum amount of coverage required by Commission regulations; and (3) applicant has access to, is familiar with and will comply with the Compact, the Commission's rules, regulations and orders, and Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations as they pertain to transportation of passengers for hire.

¹ In re United Transp., Inc., No. AP-05-204, Order No. 9389 (Mar. 15, 2006).

In re United Transp., Inc., No. AP-06-106, Order No. 10,035 (Oct. 27, 2006).

Based on the evidence in this record, the Commission finds that the proposed transportation is consistent with the public interest and that applicant is fit, willing, and able to perform the proposed transportation properly, conform to the provisions of the Compact, and conform to the rules, regulations, and requirements of the Commission.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED:

- 1. That upon applicant's timely compliance with the requirements of this order, Certificate of Authority No. 1357 shall be issued to United Transportation, Inc., trading as U.T.I. Motor Coach, 1010 Rockville Pike, #208, Rockville, MD 20852.
- 2. That applicant may not transport passengers for hire between points in the Metropolitan District pursuant to this order unless and until a certificate of authority has been issued in accordance with the preceding paragraph.
- 3. That applicant is hereby directed to present its revenue vehicle(s) for inspection and file the following documents within the 180-day maximum permitted in Commission Regulation No. 66: (a) evidence of insurance pursuant to Commission Regulation No. 58 and Order No. 4203; (b) an original and four copies of a tariff or tariffs in accordance with Commission Regulation No. 55; (c) a vehicle list stating the year, make, model, serial number, fleet number, license plate number (with jurisdiction) and seating capacity of each vehicle to be used in revenue operations; (d) a copy of the for-hire vehicle registration card, and a lease as required by Commission Regulation No. 62 if applicant is not the registered owner, for each vehicle to be used in revenue operations; and (e) proof of current safety inspection of said vehicle(s) by or on behalf of the United States Department of Transportation, the State of Maryland, the District of Columbia, or the Commonwealth of Virginia.
- 4. That the grant of authority herein shall be void and the application shall stand denied upon applicant's failure to timely satisfy the conditions of issuance prescribed herein.

FOR THE COMMISSION

William S. Morrow, Jr. Executive Director